UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,983	11/13/2003	Boaz Carmeli	IL920030009US1	1536
35525 IBM CORP (YA	7590 10/17/200 A)	EXAMINER		
C/O YEE & AS	SSOCIATES PC	DINH, KHANH Q		
P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2451	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,983	CARMELI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh Dinh	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (e), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>13-25</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>13-25</u> are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/713,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

Election/Restriction

1. This is in response to the preliminary amendment filed on 8/4/2008. Claims 1-12 and 26-40 are canceled. Claims 13-25 are presented for examination.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 13-19, drawn to a method of sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers by monitoring the activity at a NACK server, classified in class 709, subclass 220.
 - II. Claims 20-24, drawn to a method of sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers in a multicast subscription system by disturbing the plow of data between sender and subscribers, classified in class 709, subclass 224.
 - III. Claims 25, drawn to a method of sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers in a multicast subscription system by having packets in a sent queue which were never in a pending queue, classified in class 709, subclass 229.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I, II, III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as to a method of sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers by monitoring the activity at a NACK server, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*. Invention II has separate utility such as a method of

sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers in a multicast subscription system by disturbing the plow of data between sender and subscribers, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*.

Invention III has separate utility such as a method of sending packets to a multiplicity of subscribers in a multicast subscription system by having packets in a sent queue which were never in a pending queue, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:
 - (a) These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications.
 - (b) The search required for each Group is different and not co-extensive for examination purposes.

For example, the searches for the three inventions would not be co-extensive because these Groups would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass as following:

the Group I search (claims 13-19) would require use of search class 709, subclass 220 (not require for the inventions II, III).

the Group II search (claims 20-24) would require use of search **class 709**, **subclass 224** (not require for the inventions I, III).

the Group III search (claim 25) would require use of search class 709, subclass 229 (not require for the inventions I, II).

For the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,983 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

5. A telephone call was made to Peter B. Manzo 9/12/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, FOLLANSBEE JOHN, can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire <u>THIRTY DAYS</u> from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U.S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,983

Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

/Khanh Dinh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2151